NOV 2 2 2005



AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER					Docket No. 0941-0946PUS1
Application No. 10/829,363-Conf. #007073		Filing Date April 22, 2004		Examiner H. V. Duong	Art Unit 2835
Applicant(s): Chi	n-Cheng LIN				
nvention: HOUSI	NG STRUCTU	IRE			
MS Amendment Commissioner for P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 223	313-1450				14-1-1
Transmitted here The fee has been					
		CLAIM	S AS AMEN	DED	
	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid	Number Extra Claims Present	Rate	
Total Claims	19	- 20 =		X	
Independent Claims	2	- 3 =		x	
Multiple Depend	lent Claims (ch	eck if applicabl	e)		
Other fee (pleas	e specify):				
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT:					0.00
x Large Entity				Small Entity	
x No additiona	I fee is require	d for this amer	ndment.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ge Deposit Acc	***************************************		n the amount of \$	
A check in th	ne amount of \$	_	to cover	the filing fee is enclo	sed.
Payment by	credit card. Fo	orm PTO-2038	is attached.		
	is hereby auth below. A dup			Deposit Account No	02-2448
	ny overpaymen	• •	1113 311001 13 0	inclosed.	
x Charge a	ıny addîtional fili	ng or applicatio	n processing t	ees required under 37	7 CFR 1.16 and 1.17.
Joe McKinney M	7			Dated: No	ovember 22, 2005
Attorney Reg. N					
BIRCH, STEWA 8110 Gatehouse Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, Vi (703) 205-8026	e Road `		.P		



Docket No.: 0941-0946PUS1

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Chin-Cheng LIN

Application No.: 10/829,363

Confirmation No.: 7073

Filed: April 22, 2004

Art Unit: 2835

For: HOUSING STRUCTURE

Examiner: H. V. Duong

## REPLY TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 November 22, 2005

Sir:

## INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

In reply to the Office Action dated August 22, 2005, the following remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

## REMARKS

Claims 1-19 remain present in this application.

Claims 1-5 and 7-10 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Lim et al., U.S. Publication 2005/0115743. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Lim et al. in view of Japanese document 339174. This rejection is respectfully traversed.